

Results Questionnaire

Replies to the questionnaire: 17

Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland,
France, Germany, Greece, Italy,
Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway,
Poland, Portugal, Spain, Sweden,
Switzerland, United Kingdom,

Results Questionnaire

1. Has an attempt been made to rank legal periodicals?

Yes 6 No 11

2. Since when has the ranking been made?

Before 2000 4 2000-2005 1 After 2005 2

3. Have tax journals been included ?

Yes 7 No 6

Results Questionnaire

4. Are legal journals ranked separately?

Separately 5 With other journals 1

5. Do legal journals receive top ranking?

Less than 5% 2 5-25% 0

Results Questionnaire

6. Who is the initiator of the ranking?

Government 6

Publishers 0

Law faculties 2

Business faculties 2

Universities 1

Results Questionnaire

7. Use made of the ranking:

Distribution public funds for various disciplines of university research: rejected by UK, (Au, Den, Sp) 3

Distribution private funds for university research 1

Public, private grants specific reseach projects 2

Appointments and promotions academic career 2

General information 2

Others 2

Results Questionnaire

8. Other ranking systems than ranking periodicals:

ranking per article 1

ranking per author 1

other forms of ranking 2 (F: informal)

no other form of ranking 8

Results Questionnaire

9. Favourable acceptance by academia and professions:

Academic community:

Yes 0 No 5 Indifferent 5

Legal professions:

Yes 0 No 2 Indifferent 7

Results Questionnaire

10. Which ranking systems are being used?

Only domestic systems 4

Only Foreign systems 0

Foreing and domestic systems 4

U.K. sometimes refers to US rankings

Law professors in business schools in France also refer to US ranking

Results Questionnaire

12. Are national law journals subject to double blind peer review?

Yes	9	No	5
-----	---	----	---

13. Are national tax journals subject to double blind peer review?

Yes	7	No	9
-----	---	----	---

15. Are ALL national tax journals subject to double blind peer review?

Yes	0	No	14
-----	---	----	----

Results Questionnaire

16. Short Comments:

B.(Flanders): attempt to rank law journals including tax journals. Results rejected by some universities.

Ger. No ranking tradition

Gr. Review committee for publications: no scientific criteria: quality of academic author is decisive and presumed. No ranking.

It. Ranking attempt by Bologna university. Majority of tax journals not subject to peer review.

P. Issue is being introduced for new funding method.

Sp. Rankings based on statistics

Swi. No ranking tradition, no blind peer review